## National Aeronautics and Space Administration

# **Evaluation of Performance**

(Source Selection Information)

(See FAR 42.15 and NFS 1842.15)

			<b>€</b> 50,00, 0.		11 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1 12 1			
CONTRACT/PO NO.	WIEHM	INTERIM GAGE GODE:		EVALUATION PERIOD				
NND05AC81C	⊠ FINAL	1A9V0	2005	CONTRIBITOR TOTAL	To: April 28, 2006			
NOTE: THE SECTION BELOW WILL AUTOMATICALLY APPEAR WHEN THE CONTRACT NUMBER IS ENTERED								
CONTRACTOR	AWARD DATE	COMP. DATE	NAICS CODE	NAICS CODE TIN OR EIN:				
Souza Construction 501 N. Church Street	September 30, 2005	April 28, 2006	237310 179582440		179582440			
Visalia, CA 93291		CONTRACT TYPE: FFP		ESTIMATED TOTAL VALUE: \$495,000.00				
IN THE SECTION BELOW,	RATE AND DESCRIBE THE	CONTRACTOR'S P	PERFORMANC	E (Guideline	s on Page 3)			
DESCRIPTION OF WORK BEING EVALU	JATED							
provide all materials, labor and	equipment to repair To	wway Area						
QUALITY (Rating: 1 - 5)	1	2	3	4	5			
PO	OOR/UNSATISFACTORY	SATISFACTORY	GOOD □	VERY GOO	D EXCELLENT X			
High quality of concrete and asphalt pavement work were provided; strength of concrete exceeds Government requirements.								
					•			
TIMELINESS (Rating: 1 - 5)	1	2	3	4	5			
PO	OOR/UNSATISFACTORY	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	VERY GOO				
				X				
All segment of work were timel	y performed except delays d	ue to unexpected bad	weather.					
PRICE/COST (Rating: I - 5)	1	2	3	4	5			
· - ·	OOR/UNSATISFACTORY	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	VERY GOO	D EXCELLENT			
Firm fixed price as negotiated; no changes.								
Tim fixed price as negotiated,	no onungos.							
OTHER (Rating: 1 - 5)	1	2	3	4	5			
, ,	OOR/UNSATISFACTORY	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	VERY GOO	D EXCELLENT			
MANAGEMENT					X			
Souza's QC Manager and	Superintendent were ex	cellent in preparin	g submittal p	ackages, s	cheduling field work,			
Souza's QC Manager and Superintendent were excellent in preparing submittal packages, scheduling field work, conducting field QC testing, and responding to Government questions.								
DISCUSSION OF EVALUATION WITH CONTRACTOR (Date and Participants)								
TECHNICAL OR OTHER EVALUATORS (or None)								
Ron Sun								
CONTRACTING OFFICER (Name)	E-Mail Address	(Telephone)	(Signature)	1	(Date)			
Chivonne R. Everette	chivonne.Everette@dfrc.n	1   661-276-3337	6	11	9/3/			
	ail.nasa.gov	/	14	State	704			

Contractor's Comments on Evaluation								
IN THE SECTIONS BELOW THE CONTRACTOR MAY COMMENT ON THE EVALUATION								
QUALITY								
GOALITY								
NASA 's REVIEW OF ANY DISAGRE	EMENTS							
TIMELINESS								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
NASA 'S REVIEW OF ANY DISAGRE	EMENTS							
PRICE/COST								
NACA (- DEVIEW OF ANY DISACRE								
NASA 'S REVIEW OF ANY DISAGREEMENTS								
OTHER								
NASA 'S REVIEW OF ANY DISAGRE	EMENTS							
Index Charles of the District								
NAME OF DEDOOM COMMENTING	E-Mail Address	Phone	Signature	Date				
NAME OF PERSON COMMENTING	E-mail Address	T. FIGURE		Contractor)				
NAME OF DEVICEMING OFFICIAL		Signature		Date				
NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL		J.grimar v		S-550/				

# INSTRUCTIONS AND PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDELINES

PAGE 1 is to be completed by the Contracting Officer with input from the technical office or end users of the products or services. Required fields are indicated in the Form Status display at the top left of the form.

#### 1 - CONTRACTOR INFORMATION

Some contractor information is looked up automatically after the Contract/PO number is entered.

Center - Select your appropriate Center from the drop down list.

Contract/PO No. - Select the Contract or Purchase Order Prefix from the list.

Enter the number including trailing letters.

Interim/Final - Check the appropriate box

Task Number - Enter Task or N/A if not applicable

CAGE Code - Enter Contractor's Commercial and Government Entity Code

Evaluation Period - Enter Start and End dates of Evaluation Period

Contractor- Completed automatically with data from AMS

Award Date- Completed automatically with data from AMS

Complete Date- Completed automatically with data from AMS

NAICS- Completed automatically with data from AMS

TIN or EIN - Completed automatically with data from AMS

Award Type- Completed automatically with data from AMS

Total Value- Completed automatically with data from AMS

Description Of Contract - Completed automatically with data from AMS

# 2 - EVALUATION INFORMATION

Description of Work Being Evaluated - Describe the work to be evaluated.

#### 3 - NARRATIVES and RATINGS

Use the guidance provided below to assign standard adjective ratings to each of the assigned areas: Quality, Price/Cost, Timeliness, and Other.

#### 5 - EXCELLENT:

Of exceptional merit; exemplary performance in a timely, efficient, and economical manner; very minor (if any) weaknesses with no adverse effect on overall performance.

#### 4 - VERY GOOD:

Very effective performance, fully responsive to contract requirements accomplished in a timely, efficient, and economical manner for the most part; only minor weaknesses.

#### 3 - GOOD:

Effective performance; fully responsive to contract requirements; reportable weaknesses, but with little identifiable effect on overall performance

### 2 - SATISFACTORY:

Moats or slightly exceeds minimum acceptable standards; adequate results; reportable weaknesses with identifiable, but not substantial, effects on overall performance.

#### 1 - POOR/UNSATISFACTORY:

Does not meet minimum acceptable standards in one or more areas; remedial action required in one or more areas; deficiencies in one or more areas which adversely affect overall performance.

- 4 Enter participants and date evaluation was discussed with Contractor
- 5 Enter names of all other evaluators (or None)
- 6 Enter Contracting Officer's name, e-mail address, and phone.
- 7 Sign the form electronically (double click on the signature block and you will be prompted for your signature).
- 8 Forward the completed form via e-mail (electronic submissions only) to the Contractor for review and comment.