

**EXHIBIT "B"****N62473-07-R-6301****PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE**

The Offeror listed below has submitted a proposal to ROICC Camp Pendleton, Camp Pendleton, California for your consideration. ROICC Camp Pendleton would appreciate your comments regarding the Offeror's Past Performance. Your comments are considered Source Selection Sensitive; therefore, you are advised that the Federal Acquisition Regulation (15.506) prohibits the release of the names of individuals providing reference information about an Offeror's past performance. In order to maintain the integrity of the source selection process, it is respectfully requested that you do not divulge the name of the Offeror, nor discuss your comments on this questionnaire with any other individuals. Please place completed questionnaire in a sealed envelope with your company's return address and forward to the Offeror shown below

**Past Performance Information:**

1. Offeror: Souza Construction  
 Address: 586 South Farmersville Blvd., Farmersville, CA 93223  
 Phone Number: 559/747-7100
2. Name of Offeror being evaluated: Souza Construction
3. Contract Number/Project Title being evaluated: C813005001/R8130050010  
 Temporary Closure of Underground Storage Tank, Install of Aboveground Storage Tank & Piping Replace @ Death Valley National Park

**Evaluator:** (The following information will assist in the analysis of the data. Information will be kept confidential)

1. Name of Evaluator: Wayne Badder
2. Phone Number: 760/786-3261
3. Address: PO Box 579
4. Position held or function in relation to project: Facility Manager

**Rating:** Please evaluate the past performance using only the following ratings only.

<b>"O"</b>	Outstanding	Performance greatly exceeded the contract requirements.
<b>"A"</b>	Above Average	Performance exceeded the contract requirements.
<b>"S"</b>	Satisfactory	Performance met the contract requirements.
<b>"M"</b>	Marginal	Met the minimum contract requirements but some material aspects of the contractor's performance were less than satisfactory.
<b>"U"</b>	Unacceptable	Performance was poor and/or did not satisfy contract requirements

*(Do not rate on a "+" or "-" scale. Please use only the ratings provided above, without variation).*

**EXHIBIT "B"**

**N62473-07-R-6301**

**PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE**

**Please rate and provide any supporting information for the following:**

1. The relationship between the Offeror and client/customer?

Communication was good

Rating:   A  

2. The Offeror's management and coordination of subcontractors/consultants.

Rating:   A  

3. Overall corporate management, integrity, reasonableness and cooperative conduct:

Rating:   A  

4. Quality of work:

Rating:   A

<p>5. Quality control:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Rating: <u>  A  </u></p>
<p>6. Ability to meet the performance schedule:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Rating: <u>  A  </u></p>
<p>7. Ability/actions to improve schedule problems, if applicable:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Rating: <u>  A  </u></p>
<p>8. Ability to control costs and provide the required work at a reasonable total price: No cost over runs</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Rating: <u>  A  </u></p>
<p>9. Compliance with labor standards, as applicable:</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Rating: <u>  A  </u></p>

10. Compliance with safety standards and/or number of safety related incidents, code compliance, as applicable:

Rating:   A  

11. Have any cure notices, show cause letters, letter of reprimand, suspension of payment, and/or termination been issued? If yes, please explain:

No

Rating:   A  

12. Would you award another contact to the party being evaluated? If no, please state reasons for not recommending this contractor additional work:

Yes

Rating:   A  

13. Was the customer satisfied with the end product?

Yes

Rating:   A  

14. Has the firm being evaluated been provided an opportunity to discuss any negative interim or final performance ratings? If so, what were the results?

No negative results

Rating:   A  

**OVERALL RATING:       A**